

Eden is Burning Extracts

The Table of Contents usually forms part of these extract documents and although this one is rather large, it isn't too large to go in. The reason you are not getting it in this document is that people really do need to read the Essential Preamble in full, even before seeing the Table of Contents.

Opening Paragraph of the Essential Preamble

I am a nobody coming out of nowhere and I've spent most of the last fifteen years in a "cave" working on how to solve the world's biggest problems. I've not been looking for ways to "address" them whatever the hell that ever means (I use the word too but it tends to mean something else when governments use it), and I haven't been looking for ways to merely mitigate them either. From the very beginning my entire focus has been on defining new ways to actually fix our biggest problems. This all started with a desire to solve Climate Change, and over the years the scope was expanded until the proposed agenda is where it is today. I agree whole-heartedly that this would sound like a foolish endeavour, IF we were all the way back in the year 2000 and I was making a public spectacle of myself by saying that I was going to do such a thing. But we're not in the year 2000, we're fifteen years later, and for something as large and unprecedented as I'm suggesting the word trust is a *non-sequitur*. There are no used car salesmen in this

book asking you to trust them. The only standard I accept is evidence, proof, and I expect to be held to that same standard.

New Economics and Commerce Systems

There are many possibilities on what could come after capitalism, but whatever it is it is going to need to be in sync with the way human beings are, and it is going to need to understand the way human beings behave and allow for it.

We will need a system that is agreeable to those with money and power, and this is the number one qualifier. Unless a significant percentage of those who make all the decisions in this world are **genuinely keen** for a thing to happen then it will not happen. When developing a solution for anything in this world, this needs to be our primary consideration.

The NECS is going to be analysed and pulled to pieces and back again by every academic on the planet, and the logic will be unimpeachable. As soon as we establish that the sums for the NECS really do add up then that will be it. It will be a done deal.

The big, BIG, question inside the NECS is, what can stop a thing from happening when ninety percent of the population are desperate, DESPERATE, to make it happen because it will dramatically raise their quality of life (by EVERY measure), and when well over three-quarters of the “top” ten percent of income earners are also genuinely keen and actively trying to make it happen, and that includes over three-quarters

of the top 0.1% too? The only rich people in this world who will try to stop this are the ones that absolutely need to crush the poor under their heel; C&DK and that great American family for example. Unfortunately for the world there's far more of this type than the oblivious realize, but on the upside far fewer than the cynics believe.

The Intermediate Democracy

This is also known as TIDDS or The Intermediate Democracy Development Structure. Specifically, a TIDDS group will be a committed group of individuals inside a specific country appointed to design a (partially) representative form of government that is suitable for the culture and sociology of that country. TIDDS is a developmental framework that forces a group so entrusted to understand and adopt limiting cultural factors as part of their deliberations, rather than looking at such a process through rose coloured glasses and with unrealistic expectations. We are so blinkered when it comes to things like freedom and democracy. We see a country suffering what we view as massive human rights abuses, and we automatically assume that we can just jump into the middle of the situation and supplant a new form of government that will take them from dictatorship to a western style of democracy, BANG, just like that. We have fifty years of current history to show us just how effective such an idea is. It doesn't work, and yet we are doing the

same thing over and again, and if North Korea came to a crisis point in the next decade, we'd simply try to do it there also (if they came to South Korea for help instead of China, which is less likely). It is not being suggested that democracy is not possible for such societies, but what is being suggested is that we need a form of democracy that suits the specific culture that develops when a form of dictatorship (or strongarm leader culture) has been in place for many decades (or since "forever"). To my mind this seems a fundamental truth, overwhelmingly obvious, and yet apparently it isn't.

(The Prince Principle book has a dozen pages on this in the back; specific details)

Advanced CCTV

Someone commits a crime at X-location within a city. We have video recordings (plus something extra that also identifies a person) for all people who came and went from that location around the time of the crime. Using a video map of an entire city we can track back from whence they came, and forward to where they went, and even where they currently are when we go to arrest them, perhaps waiting until they are in a location where no bystanders are at risk. The cost of this would have been a little restricting when I originally came up with it over a dozen years ago, but not anymore. Obviously every government endeavour is open to abuse and this will have safeguards equivalent to the best currently used plus something

extra. Being what can be termed as “performing its function openly and notoriously”, actually affords extra safeguards before we add extra safeguards on top of that. For people trying to imagine what it may be like, I wonder if it helps for me to say that it is a tri-layered system with massive redundancy built in, or whether it helps to say that it makes it almost impossible to get away with most non-white-collar crime, even if you know where all of the cameras are. If we were to adopt this system, which is part of the PASR reforms, then we will have adopted several other H3 agenda reforms before it. In a world that is shaped by the H3 agenda of reforms any fears that we could develop down the track of Big Brother would not be realistic.

The Founding Fathers Principle

“Everybody” wants to do good in this world; all but the mentally unstable do, but they desperately don’t want it to cost them anything in lifestyle or hoarded fortune whilst they’re still alive. This is one of the most important parts of The Prince Principle, and if you don’t work this principle into your solution, then it will be impossible, Impossible, IMPOSSIBLE, for you to solve it, whatever IT is. Some of the Founding Fathers in America were genuinely for the abolition of slavery, but all of their ideas on the subject were to get rid of it over decades. Several of these fifty, sixty, and seventy year old men, were for the abolition of slavery, but only if it could be done over decades. In

an age when it was rare, even for old rich white guys in power, to live over eighty, these old rich white guys were okay with the abolition of slavery thirty, forty or fifty years down the track. How very magnanimous and high-minded of them. Even though I've put it in the way I've put it, I've stated it that way mostly for literary effect. Because we only get one go around in this world, this (not wanting to solve a thing if it will cost us money and power) is not a totally unreasonable view to take. Many of the rich and powerful do want to solve our problems, but "none of them" will do it if it is going to threaten their wealth and power. This is the Founding Fathers Principle.

Modern civilization is property oriented and so like it or not, once somebody has something and has "earned it" in one of the many ways we've been shown, whether it be a bag of lollies or \$80 billion, they get to say what to do with it and they will protect it. So the key therefore is to make sure a solution to a major problem won't cost them anything. The better option is to make sure they will become richer if they adopt it.

www.h3hq.org